

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,339	09/12/2004	Hideaki Saito	SIMTEK6976	5338
25776	7590 06/20/2005		EXAM	INER
	BEUTLER, ATTOR	Hideaki Saito 05 DRNEY AT LAW	OLSON, LARS A	
	MARSEILLE ORT BEACH, CA 92660		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, ,		3617	
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	10/711,339	SAITO, HIDEAKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lars A. Olson	3617
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard property of the months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the fid will apply and will expire SIX (6) Matute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ 1 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-10 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2004 Applicant may not request that any objection to a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawin	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docum: 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum: 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12102004	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 06022005

Application/Control Number: 10/711,339

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 3 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. On line 2 of Claim 3, an annular sealing ring is claimed as having "a lip like upper edge". It is unclear to the examiner what the applicant is claiming as a "lip like" upper edge.
- 4. On line 2 of Claim 12, an annular sealing ring is claimed as having "a lip like upper edge". It is unclear to the examiner what the applicant is claiming as a "lip like" upper edge.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishi et al. (US 6,280,268).

Nishi et al. discloses the same position adjusting arrangement for an outboard drive as claimed, as shown in Figures 1-17, that is comprised of a tilt cylinder bore, defined as Part #32, a tilt piston, defined as Part #39, that reciprocates within said tilt cylinder bore and divides said cylinder bore into two axially spaced chambers, defined as Parts #35A and 35B, a piston rod, defined as Part #33, that is fixed to said tilt piston and extends through one of said chambers for connection to an outboard drive, as shown in Figure 1, a reservoir, defined as Part #63, for a hydraulic fluid circulating system, and a cavity, as shown in Figure 7, that is formed in an uppermost surface of said piston, where said cavity is spaced inwardly from the engagement of said tilt piston with said tilt cylinder bore, extends around the circumference of said piston, as shown in Figure 10, has a plurality of circumferentially spaced recesses, defined as Part #114, and is capable of receiving foreign particles and precluding their entry to mating surfaces of said tilt piston and said tilt cylinder bore.

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 2 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 3 and 12-14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,339

Art Unit: 3617

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Saito (US 6,309,264), Uppgard et al. (US 6,176,170) and Hartman et al. (US 5,980,339) disclose tilt cylinder assemblies for supporting an outboard motor.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6685.

lo

June 13, 2005

LARS A. OLSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

6/13/05

Page 4